

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

ELECTRONIC

07/02/2009

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/508,942	10/01/2004	Mi-Sun Sung	MUHAN1.001APC	7555	
	7590 07/02/200 RTENS OLSON & BE		EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET			ZIEGLE, STEPHANIE M		
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
, , , ,			3692		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/508,942
 SUNG, MI-SUN

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 STEPHANIE ZIEGLE
 3892

	STEPHANIE ZIEGLE	3692	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Stephanie Ziegle.	(3)Donald Min.		
(2) <u>Jennifer Liversedge</u> .	(4)Mincheol Kim.		
Date of Interview: 29 June 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>18-42</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Walker, Loeb, Blinn, and	nd Macklin.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner potentially differs from the claims of the instant invention. C stated that they intend to file a 1.31 affidavit to disqualify M official response to the office action, and respond according (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIR THE ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIR ments on reverse side or on attached sheet.	discussed how they read the, claim language interpretation versions and interpretation versions of the discussion numbers which the examiner agopy of the amendments that we shall be sometimes of the discussion of the amendments that we shall be	prior and how was also discuss also discuss whe attended during the interest would render the SUBSTANCE (been filed, APP (DAYS FROM 1 WHICHEVER IS	vithe prior art ed. Applicant omey's filed nterview. er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO
/Stephanie Ziegle/	/Jennifer Liversedge/		